The "Venus" of Laussel in the Light of Ethnomusicology

D. Huyge¹

The "Venus" or "Dame à la corne" of Laussel is beyond all doubt one of the most renowned works of Upper Palaeolithic art. The parietal bas-relief, which was discovered in 1911 by the French physician G. Lalanne in the Grand abri of Laussel (municipality of Marquay, Dordogne), has been widely reproduced in the literature. Since its discovery almost no general work on prehistory has seen the light without referring to this celebrated find and the exceptional circumstances in which it was recovered. Moreover, a large number of comments have been published discussing the meaning and motivation of the intriguing figure and proposing interpretations especially with regard to the most curious and enigmatic association of the large-bellied and full-bosomed lady with an incised animal horn.

In view of the fact that most interested readers will be familiar with the carved limestone relief, I will not linger upon a detailed description of the female image, nor recall at length the find circumstances and the associated works of art. All of these have been discussed in great detail in generally available studies². The photograph of the object (fig. 1), now in the Musée d'Aquitaine in Bordeaux (France), will suffice for our present purposes and it may be enough to recall that the relief, according to the latest insights datable to the Upper Perigordian and therefore about 25.000 years old, was found in what has been described as a "cella", in topographic association with two other female images of the same general type, a mutilated relief, probably of a masculine figure (the so-called "Chasseur") and the curious representation of a hind (on both sides of a piece of rock). Whether or not all these representations are linked in some way is not the issue here. I will focus on the interpretation of the female relief with the horn. Whilst any consideration regarding its use in a possibly cultual or ritual context cannot disregard the associated finds, it would seem that the symbolic content of the image may be inferred from the intrinsic characteristics of the œuvre d'art itself. It may therefore be useful to review beforehand what has been written on the subject of the interpretation of the object, and more in particular to investigate briefly what theories have been developed to explain the curious and unique association female figure animal horn.

The exceptional nature of the find has been obvious from the moment of its discovery. Already quite a number of Upper Palaeolithic female representations were known at that time, almost none, however, being so complete, explicit and suggestive as the Laussel specimen and none at all in which the representation bears an association with an object (except maybe for another of the reliefs of Laussel). The latter object (fig. 2) has been interpreted by G. Lalanne³ as a bison horn, a zoological identification that seems to be generally accepted. It has not escaped the attention of the discoverer that the horn bears a number of distinct transverse parallel incisions, which he describes as "marques de chasse". This denomination is based on the then popular interpretation of repetitive incisions on Palaeolithic bone objects as tally-marks, recording a

 Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Laboratorium voor Prehistorie, Redingenstraat 16 bis, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium.
 See e.g. Lalanne 1912; Lalanne & Bouyssonie 1941-1946; Breuil 1952, 279-281; Zervos 1959, 38; Leroi-Gourhan 1965, 245-246; Sandars 1968, 19-21; Naber 1974, 151-158; Delporte 1979, 60-66; Roussot, 1984.
 Lalanne 1912.

hunter's score of the number of animals slaughtered. Even though J. G. Lalanne and J. Bouyssonie⁴ recognise the fact that the representation of the horn must have symbolic value and therefore testifies to certain "mystical capacities" of Palaeolithic man, they do not offer a more specific interpretation. It was W. Deonna⁵, who, in a critical review of Lalanne's original interpretation of the "Venus" figure as being of ethnic significance, put forward the idea that the bison horn would symbolically suggest the notion of abundance, in that way foreshadowing the Horn of Plenty or cornucopia representations in classical art. According to Deonna this symbolic connotation would corroborate the interpretation according to which the "Venus" figure is to be seen as a symbolic image of procreation and fertility, rather than as a manifestation of a racial or anthropological reality.

More or less related ideas have been put forward by C. Zervos⁶ and N.K. Sandars⁷. Both authors regard the representation of the horn as a symbol ancestral to the *cornucopia*, a primeval manifestation of the belief that the head contained the procreative element and that the horn, in fact, as a *pars pro toto*, represents the fertilising function of the bull. From this point of view the naked female, in symbolic contact with the Horn of Plenty, is to be considered as a forerunner of the later Neolithic "Mother Goddess", a venerated "concentrated embodiment of woman" and in essence a principle of fecundity.

Other interpretations do not regard the figure as an object of veneration but, in contrast, consider it to be the representation of an act of veneration: a (possibly deceased) female orant or priestess pictured in an attitude of reverence, praying or offering. The bison horn would then have the function of an offering vessel, which the naked lady shows in a ceremonial way, perhaps in the course of performing a libation rite. This interpretation, advocated by the German scholar C. Schuchhardt⁸, is essentially based on the vague resemblance of the Laussel "Venus" with much later, pre-Hellenic works of art, in particular Minoan intaglio representations.

Another, substantially different interpretation of the relief as a priestess is due to the Soviet prehistorian S. Zamiatnine, excavator of the site of Gagarino⁹. Rather than focusing on the attribute or on the gesture of the female, he takes into account the whole repertoire of figures recovered to explain the function of the naked woman. The female representations of Laussel are considered as priestesses-sorceresses of the hunt. Thanks to their combined magical action the masculine figure of the mutilated relief, whose posture looks as though he is about to hurl a lance, is aided in his aim to strike the deer. All the figurations therefore take part in one scene, a collective magical act destined to aid the hunter to trap the pursued animal. In this performance the bison horn held by the "Venus" is an instrument of magical action: a prehistoric magic wand.

Apart from these imaginative interpretations, critically commented upon by H. Delporte¹⁰, also more prosaic suggestions have been put forward. M. Hoernes and O. Menghin¹¹ for instance, favour the interpretation of the object held by the "Venus" as an ordinary drinkingmug. From this the authors quite unfoundedly manage to deduce that fermented beverages were known to Palaeolithic man and that the preparation of such liquids was performed by women. As such, the Laussel image appears as a twofold manifestation of sensuous pleasure: sex and alcoholic beverages. According to Hoernes and Menghin the religious connotation of this "woman and vessel" motif should be of much later date. It will become an omnipresent element in the arts only from pre-Hellenic times onwards. The interpretation of the object as a recipient is also favoured by A. Leroi-Gourhan¹² who regards the female as assuming a drinking posture. Not surprisingly the same author also seriously considers the possibility that the horn may have the additional function of complementary element in the symbolic theme "female-bison".

Other interpretations focus on the marks on the horn, rather than on the object held itself. A. Marshack¹³ in particular, who regards the female as a central character in a mythology related amongst other things to processes of pregnancy both in animals and women, points out that the number of incisions on the horn thirteen - is the number of crescent "horns" that make up an observational lunar year and that the same number is also the number of days from the birth of the first crescent to just before the days of the mature full moon. Marshack's conviction that the marks on the horn are clearly notational and storied and therefore do have a symbolic connotation, is shared by J.-P. Duhard¹⁴, who makes the even more provocative suggestion that the marks on the horn might be an obstetrical calendar for calculating the duration of pregnancy and predicting child-birth.

To this brief overview of interpretations it should be added that the horn has also been

```
Lalanne & Bouyssonie
1941-1946.
5
     Deonna 1913.
     Zervos 1959, 45.
6
     Sandars 1968, 30.
7
8
     Schuchhardt 1926, 30-
32: 1935. 8. 16-17.
     See Delporte 1979, 290-
9
291.
     Ibid.
10
11
     Hoernes & Menghin
1925,
     164.
     Leroi-Gourhan 1965,
12
245.
13
     Marshack 1972, 335.
     Duhard 1988.
14
```



1 A front view of the "Venus" or "Dame à la corne" of Laussel holding in her raised right hand an incised bison horn. Height of the bas relief: 42 cm. Upper Perigordian (Cliché: Musée d'Aquitaine, Bordeaux, France. All rights reserved).



2 A detail of the Laussel horn marked with thirteen regularly spaced incisions (Cliché: Musée d'Aquitaine, Bordeaux, France. All rights reserved).

interpreted as a musical instrument, more in particular as a wind-instrument or aerophone. This suggestion, most often put forward in popular works on Palaeolithic art and musical history¹⁵, has generally been ignored in scholarly publications. Indeed, as the object lacks any kind of artificial orifice, both on its tip and side, its function as an aerophone is highly unlikely.

It is clear that of the above-mentioned interpretations of the "Venus" in association with the horn, none succeeds in explaining adequately the presence of the attribute 'as a whole': a horn, most likely a bison horn, provided or adorned with a series of transverse incisions. The initial question to be dealt with is whether or not there is an actual physical relationship between the horn and the incisions. In other words, can the image 'in all its details' be the representation of an actual physical item of contemporary material culture? Most authors indeed do regard the represented attribute as an actual bovine horn. Some consider it to be a utilitarian object. Others attach to it a symbolic connotation or even regard it as a complete symbolic abstraction. No one, however, convincingly accounts for the presence of the incisions. While the bison horn is usually smooth, the incisions are elaborate and regularly spaced. Therefore, they have doubtlessly a significance - either functional or symbolic and this should be taken into account properly.

Perhaps A. Marshack is closest to a solution when he postulates, at least, a symbolic relationship between the horn and the marks. However, if the image indeed represents a lunar calendar as a physical reality, one does not really grasp why the supporting object should necessarily be a bison horn. To postulate a link between the crescent "horns" that make up an observational lunar year and the animal horn indeed seems somewhat farfetched. Also, while the suggestion that the image may be a central character in an already well-developed mythology may well be retained for the female image, it certainly does not apply to the representation as a whole. The association female - horn is in fact too unique and chronologically isolated to allow for such a generalisation, and one should, we think, argue for a much more specific interpretation.

Can a theory be deceloped which at the same time explains adequately the woman's attribute as a physical object and provides also a valuable suggestion for the symbolic content of the image? In our opinion, this is perfectly possible. An analysis of the components of the object (bison horn and transverse incisions) does not offer many clues to a functional interpretation. Assuming that the incisions are not merely decorative or symbolic, one does not easily see how the object 'as a whole' could have served as a tool in any kind of domestic or other purely utilitarian activities. Ethnography, however, and particularly ethnomusicological sources, seem to suggest that the horn may be a musical instrument. The representation is in fact an accurate reproduction of a specific and well-known type of sound-generating device: an idiophonic scraper. Very similar musical instruments of bovine horn exist for instance in the ethno-instrumental material cultures of Mexico¹⁶ (fig. 3) and of the Netherlands Antilles¹⁷ (fig. 4).

Musical scrapers or rasps are primitive idiophonic instruments with a corrugated surface that is scraped by a non-sonorous object (e.g. a wooden rod or a bone plectrum) to produce a stridulating sound. The distribution of these instruments is almost world-wide. In its simplest form the device consists of a notched stone, bone, shell or gourd, which is scraped rhythmically with a rigid object, the sound produced being amplified in certain instances by placing the instrument over a hole in the ground ¹⁸. Such instruments are known both from ethnographical and archaeological sources. Only recently, I have suggested that an incised Middle Palaeolithic bone object from Belgium may have been used as an idiophonic scraper¹⁹. A perforated bone implement with saw-like teeth from the Magdalenian strata of the Pekárna cave in Moravia (Czechoslovakia) is probably also a scraped idiophone and several other, more recent examples are known from Near Eastern Neolithic and Bronze Age sites²⁰ and from the Polish Iron Age Lusatian culture²¹. Other examples seem to have been recovered in archaeological excavations in America and Africa²². Together with the flute, the lithophone and the bullroarer, the scraper is to be considered as one of the earliest musical instruments known to man²³.

It should be obvious that my identification of the Laussel horn as a scraped idiophone is nothing more than an exploratory suggestion. The interpretation may - and no doubt will - be challenged and possibly rejected by some as a typical example of ethnographical induction and decontextualisation. However, I do not think such a critique to be justified. First of all, the physical characteristics of the Laussel horn and of the scraped idiophones - in terms both of manufacturing techniques and raw materials allow them to be identified in an absolute way (except for the use of different zoological species). Comparing the relief representation of an unmistakingly incised horn with an actual incised horn, after all, is a comparative procedure



3 A scraped idiophone on a bovine horn from Mexico (after Blades 1970).

on a somewhat different level of reliability than comparing an Upper Palaeolithic comet-like engraving with a New Guinean sorcerer in disguise²⁴. Secondly, we are dealing with an object which is comparatively unsophisticated with regard both to its manufacturing and its utilisation. Undoubtedly sound-generating devices were known to early modern man. The musical scraper, next to the lithophone, is clearly one of the least complicated instruments one can think of. Even nowadays, the basics of the instrument are daily reinvented as schoolboys strike housefences with their ruler to produce an enervating rhythm. The potential capacity of inventing or reinventing the device was, is and will be inherent to the human mind everytime and everywhere. There is no reason at all to deny the Palaeolithic hunters, capable of far greater spiritual achievements, the possibility of this concept. As stated above, the occurrence of musical scrapers has been recognised in the archaeological record, even among "contemporary" materials. Because of the perishable nature of the basic material, horn scrapers have not survived, but the possible occurrence of bone counter-parts at least effectively supports the plausibility of the suggested identification.

There is one additional type of evidence that may be corroborative to the identification of the attribute as a scraped idiophone, namely the well-attested overall magical significance of the musical device in primitive society. In the ethnography of both the Old and the New World, the use of the scraper is intimately linked with hunting magic, erotic rituals and funeral ceremonies²⁵. The power of the instrument to arouse love for instance, is illustrated by an amusing tale told by the North American Chevenne Indians and quoted by C. Sachs²⁶:

Gansemans, personal communication. Blades 1970, 40; Tran-18 chefort 1980, 86-88; Sachs 1946, 43. 19 Huyge in press.

Blades 1970, 41.

16

17

20 Otte, Gautier & Bibuyck 1982.

21 Malinowski 1981. 22 Blades 1970, 40.

See Geiringer 1982, 13-23

14 and also Maringer 1982, Dams 1985.

Breuil 1952, 146-147. 24 25 Blades 1970, 40-41; Driver 1969; Hickmann 1965; Marti 1955, 43-44. 26 Sachs 1946, 43.



4 A combination-instrument, built out of the horn of a cow, from the Netherlands Antilles (Bonaire). The device, composed of an aerophone or wind-instrument (kachu) and a scraped idiophone (raspu), is essentially used by the negroid subpopulation of the islands. Both instruments are most probably of Central African origin. The kachu has a social function and is essentially a communication device (e.g. for the announcement of decease). The present use of the raspu does not seem to have preserved a symbolic connotation. Total length: 27 cm. (Coll. P. Andriessen, Korbeek-Dijle).

"Once there was a very beautiful girl in the camp, and all the young men wanted to marry her, but she would have none of them. The Dog Soldiers and the Kit Fox Soldiers had a dance, and each young man tried to do his best, but the girl would look at none of them. Then it came the turn of the Himoweyuhkis, and they felt discouraged, because they thought they could do no better than the other societies had done. But a man who possessed spiritual power spoke to them, saying: "That girl will be here to see you dance, and she will fall in love with one of you, and he will get her. Now go and bring me the horn of an elk - a yearling - one that has no prongs on it, and the shank-bone of an antilope." The young men brought him what he had asked for. He carved the elk-horn in the shape of a snake, and on it cut forty-five notches. Then, he made from the shank-bone of the antilope an implement to rub over the horn; and this device was used in the dance. The girl was there to see the dance, and fell in love with and married one of the young men."

More or less related ritual uses of the scraper are known in Central Africa, for instance among the Bawili in Loango, where a musical scraping device is used in the dwelling when the virgin is ceremonially initiated in the secrets of marriage²⁷. Even though it would be

risky to assume that the overall magical use of the instrument has a transmitted cultural continuity that traces its origins back as far as the Palaeolithic, it is most remarkable that in the case of the Laussel "Venus" the possible representation of such a scraping device occurs in combination with a typical corpulent or "steatopygous" female image, most often regarded as a symbol of fertility, but also interpreted in the terms of hunting magic and ancestor worship²⁸. The suggestion that the image represents a woman during pregnancy, already put forward by J. G. Lalanne and J. Bouyssonie²⁹, has been further elaborated by J.-P. Duhard³⁰, who - in his capacity of gynecoobstetrician - is well-placed to judge on the matter. Apart from the physical characteristics of the image, the gesture of the female's left hand, which appears to protect or to draw attention to the abdomen, seems to confirm this condition of pregnancy. If correct, Duhard's interpretation, of course strongly corroborates the hypothesis according to which the Laussel "Venus" (and other images as well) are to be regarded as symbols of fecundity. Needless to add that this interpretation may perfectly be reconciled with the identification of the bison horn as a love-arousing and therefore pregnancy-stimulating musical device.

- Wallaschek 1903, 136. Delporte 1979, 289-291.
- 29 Lalanne & Bouyssonie
- 1941-1946.

27

28

30 Duhard 1988; 1989.

Compared to most ethnographical examples of scraped idiophones known to us (see e.g. figs 3 and 4 and the Cheyenne tale above), the number of incisions on the Laussel horn - thirteen - is rather limited. This number may accurately reflect the Palaeolithic reality; it may also be arbitrary or conventional. It may have been determined by purely practical factors, for instance by the fact that the nature of the supporting limestone or the carving tool used did not allow for greater detail. It may also be considered that the count of the strokes indeed does have a symbolic connotation, in which case A. Marshack ³¹ and J.-P. Duhard ³² would be correct in stressing the notational and storied character of the marks. The latter possibility, however, does not at all preclude our hypothesis that the incisions would be first and foremost functional in nature. In a way, a possible notational character of the set of marks may even serve to corroborate the suggested sympathetic magical use of the device.

Whatever the case, I feel strongly (but am not able to prove) that the "Dame à la corne" of Laussel is an highly intricate image, loaded with symbolism. The interpretation of the bison horn as a scraped idiophone, used ritually for success in pregnancy, has at least the advantage of rendering proper justice to the extremely complex spirituality of Upper Palaeolithic man, which is - it should modestly be admitted - still far beyond our present comprehension³³.

REFERENCES

BLADES J. 1970: Percussion Instruments and their History, London.

BREUIL H. 1952: Four Hundred Centuries of Cave Art, Montignac.

DAMS L.R. 1985: Palaeolithic lithophones: descriptions and comparisons, Oxford Journal of Archaeology 4(1), 31-46.

DELPORTE H. 1979: L'image de la femme dans l'art préhistorique, Paris.

DÉONNA W. 1913: A propos d'un bas-relief de Laussel, Revue archéologique 22, 112-114.

DRIVER W. 1969: Music and dance. In: DRIVER H.E. (ed.): Indians of North America, revised second edition, Chicago, 194-207.

DUHARD J.-P. 1988: Le calendrier obstétrical de la femme à la corne de Laussel, Bulletin de la Société Historique et Archéologique du Périgord 115, 23-39

DUHARD J.-P. 1989: La gestuelle du membre supérieur dans les figurations féminines sculptées paléolithiques, Rock Art Research 6(2), 105-118.

GEIRINGER K. 1982: Instrumente in der Musik des Abendlandes, München.

HICKMANN H. 1965: Schraper. In: BLUME F. (ed.), 1949-68, 1973-, Die Musik in Geschichte und Gegenwart, Band 12, Kassel, 66-68.

HOERNES M. & MENGHIN O. 1925: Urgeschichte der bildenden Kunst in Europa, Wien.

HUYGE D. 1990: Mousterian Skiffle? Note on a Middle Palaeolithic Engraved Bone from Schulen, Belgium, Rock Art Research 7, 125-132.

LALANNE G. 1912: Bas-reliefs à figuration humaine de l'abri sous roche de "Laussel" (Dordogne), L'Anthropologie 23, 129-149.

LALANNE J.G. & BOUYSSONIE J. 1941-1946: Le gisement paléolithique de Laussel. Fouilles du Dr. Lalanne, L'Anthropologie 50, 1-163.

LEROI-GOURHAN A. 1965: Préhistoire de l'art occidental, Paris.

MALINOWSKI T. 1981: Archaeology and musical instruments in Poland, World Archaeology 12, 3, 266-272.

MANN W. 1982: James Galway's Weltsprache Musik, Herrsching.

MARINGER J. 1982: Musik und Musikinstrumente in vor- und frühgeschichtlicher Zeit, Praehistorische Zeitschrift 57, 126-137.

MARSHACK A. 1972: The Roots of Civilization, New York.

MARTI S. 1955: Instrumentos musicales precortesianos, Mexico.

NABER F.B. 1974: Laussel, ein paläolithischer Fundort, Bonner Hefte zur Vorgeschichte Nr. 2, Bonn.

OTTE M., GAUTIER A. & BIBUYCK Ph. 1982: Interprétation d'un ossement encoché de la préhistoire syrienne, Paléorient 8/1, 85-86.

Marshack 1972. 32 Duhard 1988.

31

I would like to express 33 my sincerest thanks to Dr J. Gansemans (Koninklijk Museum voor Midden-Afrika, Tervuren) for providing relevant ethnomusicological information. Dr R.G. Bednarik (Australian Rock Art Research Association), Professor P.M. Vermeersch and Dr P. Van Peer (Laboratorium voor Prehistorie, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven) have commented on earlier drafts of this paper. I am grateful to them for constructive criticism. I would also like to thank Mme D. Muller (Musée d'Aquitaine, Bordeaux, France) for providing the photographs of figs 1 and 2, and Mr P. Andriessen (Korbeek-Dijle) for his kind permission to reproduce the musical instrument in fig. 4.

ROUSSOT A. 1984: Abri de Laussel. In: L'Art des cavernes. Atlas des grottes ornées paléolithiques françaises, Paris, 167-169.

SACHS C. 1946: The History of Musical Instruments, London.

SANDARS N.K. 1968: Prehistoric Art in Europe, Harmondsworth, Middlesex.

SCHUCHHARDT C. 1926: Alteuropa, Berlin and Leipzig.

SCHUCHHARDT C. 1935: Vorgeschichte von Deutschland, München, Berlin and Oldenburg.

TRANCHEFORT F.-R. 1980: Les instruments de musique dans le monde I, Paris.

WALLASCHEK R. 1903: Anfänge der Tonkunst, Leipzig.

ZERVOS C. 1959: L'art de l'époque du renne en France, Paris.